Case 2:03-cr-00103-JAM-EFB Document 336 Filed 02/28/07 Page 1 of 3

```
McGREGOR W. SCOTT
 1
    United States Attorney
   ANNE PINGS
   Assistant U.S. Attorney
    501 I Street, Suite 10-100
 3
    Sacramento, California 95814
    Telephone: (916) 554-2785
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
                     IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                          Cr. S-03-0103-FCD
11
                   Plaintiff,
                                          GOVERNMENT'S MOTION
12
                                          AND PROPOSED ORDER TO
         v.
```

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KAMALJIT SINGH KHERA,

Defendant.

The government hereby moves, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 10(e), to correct an error in the transcription of the sentencing proceedings which occurred on January 30, 2006.

CORRECT TRANSCRIPT

PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 10(e)

On January 30, 2006, this Court, in sentencing the defendant, considered the issue of the applicability of the sentencing guideline provision U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(1) which provides: "if a dangerous weapon (including a firearm) was possessed, increase by 2 levels." The notes provide: "The adjustment should be applied if the weapon was present, unless it is clearly improbable that the weapon was connected with the offense." U.S.S.G. 2D1.1(b)(1), Application Note 3 (emphasis added). The defendant has raised this issue on appeal in C.A. No. 06-10102, currently pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Case 2:03-cr-00103-JAM-EFB Document 336 Filed 02/28/07 Page 2 of 3

In several instances, the transcription reflects that the district court used the term "clearly and probable" instead of the term, "clearly improbable." R.T. 833: 25, 834;9, 11-12, 15-16. It is clear from the context and from this Court's action in imposing the enhancement, and consistent with the undersigned's recollection, that this Court properly applied the "clearly improbable" standard contained in U.S.S.G. 2D1.1.

Therefore, the government hereby moves for an order directing the court reporter to review her notes and / or any tapes to clarify whether the Court used the term "clearly improbable" and, if appropriate, produce a corrected transcript to then be filed with the clerk's office of the district court in the same manner as transcripts are regularly filed for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney

/s/ Anne Pings

By:

ANNE E. PINGS Assistant U.S. Attorney

Case 2:03-cr-00103-JAM-EFB Document 336 Filed 02/28/07 Page 3 of 3

McGREGOR W. SCOTT 1 United States Attorney ANNE PINGS Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 3 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2785 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Cr. S-03-0103-FCD 11 Plaintiff, ORDER TO CORRECT TRANSCRIPT 12 PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF v. APPELLATE PROCEDURE 10(e) 13 KAMALJIT SINGH KHERA, 14 Defendant. 15 16 Upon consideration of the government's motion, it is hereby 17

Upon consideration of the government's motion, it is hereby ordered, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 10(e), that the court reporter shall review her notes and / or any tapes of the sentencing proceeding on January 30, 2006, to clarify whether the Court used the term "clearly improbable" or "clearly and probable" and, if appropriate, produce a corrected transcript to then be filed with the clerk's office of the district court in the same manner as transcripts are regularly filed for appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 28, 2007

26

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE